

RECEIVED

By James Feenan at 11:47 am, Aug 13, 2024

From: [Athena Kounoupis](#)
To: [pbscommissions](#)
Subject: NO to Transient Habitation of Mendocino Residences
Date: Monday, August 12, 2024 5:21:25 PM

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To be frank on the matter, it seems strange to me that the public was not better notified about this decision. To add to that, it feels rushed and, therefore, cannot possibly have a legitimate report of the effects this decision will have on the homeowners of this county. The negative effects are immediately clear to most, including the possibility of losing something as important as our fire insurance when they decide that it's too risky to live next to a campground of transient strangers, undoubtedly longing to have their campfire s'mores but not knowing how to take care of it. Also, our neighbors paid a good chunk of money this year on road maintenance. Will it have been worth it if the traffic of transients tear it up for the rest of us? And all it would take is one parcel on our road to have a significant effect. Let's say there's a neighbor who thinks this is a great idea. Well, indisputably this will lead to neighbors pitted against other neighbors. Do we really want to bring that kind of unneighborly hostility onto ourselves? Lastly, let's be real, the coast is going to be favored by the transients during the summertime. So was this decision made by people on the coast? And are they being represented proportionately?

Sincerely,
Athena Kounoupis, a concerned coastal resident

Cc: Board of Supervisors