Mendocino County

AUG 09 2021

Planning & Building Services

From:

<calypso@mcn.org>

To:

<pbscommissions@mendocinocounty.org>

Date:

Subject:

8/10/2021 11:06 AM

Case file #. CDP 2018-0012

Attachments: Calypso Lane Properties Map.pdf

Dear Mendocino County Coastal Permit Administrator,

My wife Karmen Fairbourn and I own the adjacent property to the above mentioned case property, sharing our western boundary with the Sheppard property APN 119-090-46. Our concerns at this time are regarding the rather constant problem of the trash service to the properties using the access road through and bisecting our property. The barrels are each week left on the road either at the point of pick up, or down on the same access road about 100 feet from the pick up point. The trash barrels are always on the road against company rules in the riparian zone. At times, the barrels are left out full, exposing them to bear attack for the whole week. I and my other neighbors have picked up their trash many times when those neighbors have left town and the bears attack the full barrels, and the trash is quickly carried by the animals into the riparian zone. The parties have evidently decided to completely ignore the notices sent to them from the trash company at my requests, in an attempt to resolve the problems by amicable means. By county law I believe that the two residences set out for occupation on that leg of the road that bisects our property must have a vehicle turn around "T" or other turn around for service vehicles. PG&E needs to use that lea frequently with large trucks, and they are turning around somehow now, but the Waste Mgmt company does not want to use the currently rough ground as a turnaround. There would be commonly 9 to 12 barrels on our property at the pick up day, (my wife and I do not have enough trash to use the service at all) and each week, the owners of the barrels randomly

leave the barrels sometimes laying down at the designated turn around "Y" in the common lane for many days, thereby making turning for various vendor and delivery trucks and me and my neighbors turning with a trailer very difficult. Much of the problems are with the current residents adjacent on the west of the Sheppard property, but whenever the Sheppards use the trash service, we would hope that they will not observe the same bad habits as the recalcitrant neighbors.

I have spoken with the Waste Mgmt. service people, and they sent a representative out to survey the situation to see if the trash truck would be able to drive down the hill on our shared roads to pick up the trash of our neighbors without them taking the barrels up to the "Y", where there would be 12 barrels commonly, and along with bear attacks, lots of trash picking up for me to clear the riparian area of our property. I will be insisting that our property no longer be used as a trash barrel nightmare that the bratty neighbors have insisted upon. The Waste Mgmt. company said that they need a proper turn around "T" on that leg of the "Y" that bisects our property, and otherwise they would be amenable to the suggestion that they drive down both legs of the "Y" to pick up there instead of on our property. This has been going on for many years, and I am tired of looking at multiple barrels every way I turn. Imagine your neighbors expecting your property to be the "who cares trash dump place", while keeping their own property untrammeled. So if you pick a day to come to visit our property, there is a 70% chance that there would be barrels laying around on the roads from the

neighbors who will inform you within the first few words of acquaintance that they have 3 children who are lawyers. I don't feel that we should be intimidated by bratty behaving neighbors when there are laws in place to prevent this situation.

To summarize, I believe that a proper turnaround for service trucks be designed into the plot plans for the combined properties to our West, as it should have been required at the time of the land division, and actually maintained and used for that purpose before building permits are issued. Also, the people who have been offending the neighbors should have to arrange with their trash service to accomplish this without our (non customer of the Waste Mgmt. service) intervention. The Waste Management company has tentatively been agreeable to this solution and the proper turnaround T will facilitate this solution, and we would continue to pursue that end.

Many thanks for this

opportunity, and Regards, John P. Murphy and Karmen Fairbourn

