

Incorporated August 5, 1889
416 N. Franklin St.
Fort Bragg, CA 95437
Phone: (707) 961-2823
Fax: (707) 961-2802
ci.fort-bragg.ca.us

July 24, 2007

Honorable Cindee F. Mayfield Presiding Judge Mendocino County Superior Court 100 N. State Street, Room 303 Ukiah, California 95482

Honorable Judge Mayfield:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and respond to the Findings and Recommendations contained in the May 24, 2007 Grand Jury report titled "A Report on the Lighthouse School and the Alternative Schools Campus in Fort Bragg." This letter contains responses from the City of Fort Bragg as required by California Penal Code Section 933. For the purpose of simplicity, the response from the Fort Bragg Chief of Police, from whom a response was requested, is incorporated in the attached comments.

The Fort Bragg City Council reviewed the Chief of Police's response at their meeting of July 23, 2007, and concurs with his responses to the findings and recommendations. As such, the attached document is the official response from the Fort Bragg City Council.

The City of Fort Bragg appreciates the Grand Jury's service and the opportunity to respond to this report.

Sincerely,

Doug Hammerstrom,

Mayor/

Cc: Glenn Pavlovic, Grand Jury Foreperson

RESPONSE PROCEDURE TO GRAND JURY REPORTS

The governance of responses to Grand Jury Final Report is contained in Penal Code § 933 and § 933.05. Responses must be submitted within 60 or 90 days. Elected officials must respond within sixty (60) days. Governing bodies (for example: the Board of Supervisors) must respond within ninety (90) days. Please submit all responses in writing and digital format to the Presiding Judge, the Grand jury Foreperson and the CEO's office.

Report Titl	
Report Dat	ALTERNATIVE SCHOOLS CAMPUS IN FORT BRAGG de: May 24, 2007
Response b	by: Mark Puthuff Title: Chief of Police, City of Fort Bragg
<i>Findings</i> ☑	I (we) agree with the findings numbered:#14
	I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered:
	(attach a statement specifying any portions of the Findings that are disputed; include ar explanation of the reasons therefore.)
Recommen	ndations
	Recommendations numbered: #5 have been implemented. (attach a summary describing the implemented actions.)
	We have received School Resource Officer grant funding for the next three years. A School Resource Officer will be assigned to work with the School District and Community Partners in a prevention, education, and enforcement approach to safeguard our school sites and community.
	Recommendations numbered: have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future. (attach a time frame for implementation)
	Recommendations numbered: require further analysis. (attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a time frame for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer and/or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed; including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This time frame shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury Report)

	Recommendations numbered:
	will not be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not reasonable. (attach an explanation.)
	(attach arr explanation.)
Date: 7-9	2007 Signed: 1924 4 50
 -	er of pages attached: 0

.