## Grand Jury Report RESPONSE FORM

Grand Jury Report Title: Trials and Tribulations of Running a Small City Report Dated: May 14, 2010 Response Form Submitted By: John Sherman Code Enforcement 111 E. Commercial Street Willits, CA 95490 Response MUST be submitted, per Penal Code §933.05, no later than: July 14, 2010 I have reviewed the report and submit my responses to the FINDINGS portion of the report as follows: I (we) agree with the Findings numbered: I agree with all findings I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered below, and have attached, as required, a statement specifying any portion of the Finding that are disputed with an explanation of the reasons therefore. I have reviewed the report and submit my responses to the RECOMMENDATIONS portion of the report as follows: ☐ The following Recommendation(s) have have been implemented attached, as required, is a summary describing the implemented actions: The following Recommendation(s) have not yet been implemented, but with MAY be implemented in the future, attached, as required is a time frame for implementation: I AM NOT AUTHORIZED TO MAKE RECOMMENDED CHANGES

SEE STATEMENT ATTACHED.

GRAND JURY REPORT RESPONSE FORM PAGE TWO

The following Recommendation(s) require further analysis, and <u>attached as required</u> , is an explanation and the scope and parameters of the planned analysis, and a time frame for the matter to be prepared, discussed and approved by the officer and/or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed: (This time frame shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury Report)
☐ The following Recommendations will NOT be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not deemed reasonable, <u>attached</u> , <u>as required</u> is an explanation therefore:
I have completed the above responses, and have attached, as required the following number of pages to this response form:
Number of Pages attached:
I understand that responses to Grand Jury Reports are public records. They will be posted on the Grand Jury website: <a href="www.co.mendocino.ca.us/grandjury">www.co.mendocino.ca.us/grandjury</a> . The clerk of the responding agency is required to maintain a copy of the response.
I understand that I must submit this signed response form and any attachments as follows:
First Step: E-mail (word documents or scanned pdf file format) to:
<ul> <li>The Grand Jury Foreperson at: <u>grandjury@co.mendocino.ca.us</u></li> <li>The Presiding Judge: <u>grandjury@mendocino.courts.ca.gov</u></li> <li>The County's Executive Office: <u>ceo@co.mendocino.ca.us</u></li> </ul>
Second Step: Mail all originals to:
Mendocino County Grand Jury P.O. Box 939 Ukiah, CA 95482
Printed Name: JOHN SHERMAN
Title: CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICED
Signed John 5/18/10

May 18, 2010

Grand Jury County of Mendocino PO Box 939 Ukiah, Ca. 95482

Subject: Response to Grand Jury Report

Dear Grand Jury Members

My response to the findings and recommendation contained in your Trials and Tribulations of Running a Small City report issued May 14, 2010 are as follows:

I agree with all your findings as stated.

Although I am not in a position to authorize any of the changes included in your recommendation, I enthusiastically agree with all of your recommendations with the following clarifications:

Recommendation #3, I would like to qualify this by requiring that the Council reinstate staff's cost of living and merit increases before they reinstate their full stipend.

Recommendation # 6, I believe a twenty foot increase in the height of Morris dam will be an extreme expense and not warranted. The engineer's recommendation was a ten foot extension which would meet our requirements for the foreseeable future and is a project we could afford without burdening the City with bond payments that would carry on for generations.

Sincerely,

John Sherman

Code Enforcement Officer

City of Willits