



**MENDOCINO COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP  
FINAL & APPROVED MINUTES  
Friday, November 15, 2019  
Mendocino County Farm Advisor Conference Room  
12:00 p.m. – 1:00 p.m.**

**Call To Order:**

Meeting called to order at 12:09 p.m.

**Welcome:**

Chief Locatelli welcomes and reminds everyone to speak up so the recording device captures everyone's voices. We do have a quorum today

**Introductions:**

**Izen Locatelli, Probation**

Cathy White, Probation

Kirsty Thornton, GEO/DRC

Amanda Owens, GEO/DRC

Katie F. Ford, Probation

**Justin Wyatt, Ukiah Police Department**

**Jeff Aaron, Public Defender**

**Kim Turner, Courts**

Bridgett Summers, Probation

Tim Pearce, Sheriff's Office

**Public Comment for items not on agenda:**

No public comment.

**Approval of Minutes:**

August 16, 2019 – Jeff Aaron, Public Defender motions to approve minutes from August 16, 2019. Kim Turner, Courts, seconds the motion. All in favor; none opposed. Minutes approved.

**Day Reporting Center Update:**

Two-page handout provided to everyone present. The handout is very similar to the data presented last quarter. The first page is in a slightly different format but Amanda will take everyone through the document and answer any questions there may be. If you recall



from the last meeting, we have committed to doing data updates every quarter as a way to show what the DRC is doing but also to show monitor outcomes and progress of the Day Reporting Center. Amanda reviews the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter data in detail. Amanda suggests the drop in referrals may be something she, Izen and Katie can discuss

PD Aaron inquired what the criteria for school or employment. Amanda responds the participant has to be full time in school or employed in order to get to aftercare. They consider full time anything over 32 hours and enrolled in school three classes is 9 units. Amanda discusses the Criminal Thinking Scales (CTS). PD Aaron inquires if this is a test the participants take like the MCMI or MMPI or something like that. Amanda responds it is not a test but a 2-page questionnaire they fill out, a bubble option, they fill in. There are a total of 36 questions on this questionnaire. Amanda further explains how they compile the data for the Criminal Thinking Scale.

**DRC/Parole/BI/CDCR Partnering:**

Izen is still working with Parole and it is moving slow. Getting financials squared away and beginning the work to partner with our DRC. We do have slots available still. There is a need and an interest from Parole into joining us. It would be revenue generated for our CCP, but it is just taking time. Kim Turner inquires if it is just a paperwork, MOU in place. Izen responds it is everything. They have to do a MOU. They are on a different budget cycle than we are at the county level, so they had to wait the entire budget cycle. They also had their local people, regional people and state people, people from Sacramento were driving to meet with Izen along with fires, road closures and all sorts of issues on their side getting it together. There have been all sorts of emails back and forth, we still want this, BI/GEO still does the work inside the state prisons, so it is a good partnership, it just has not happened as fast as Izen would have liked to have seen it. Izen would have thought this would have been completed three months ago. It is still in the

**Budget Update:**

Izen discusses the mid-October recommendation to the Board of Supervisors by the Executive Office and the Auditor's Office to approve an additional claim of \$464,000 of CCP funds to the Sheriff's Office. The BOS voted to approve it. This action was taken without any consultation with the CCP. Izen found out about it while he was in San Diego. He received the CEO's Report by email for the BOS meeting and saw the item on her report. He anticipated someone from the Executive Office and the Auditor's Office to attend today's meeting to the discuss the action with the CCP; however, we do not have anyone in attendance from either office.

Lengthy discussions were held regarding the effect this action will have on this year's budget. The reduction of this money means carryover from last year was significantly reduced from the amount used in planning the FY19-20 budget. Combined with



approximately \$46,000 less in base & growth funds received than projected for FY18-19, after 1<sup>st</sup> quarter FY19-20 we are projecting more than \$500,000 deficit for this year. Eliminating our previously approved contingency fund, we are at approximately \$354,000 deficit. Captain Pearce wants to clarify and be on the record that the Sheriff did not ask for the \$464,000 nor was he involved with this decision; it was done by the CEO's Office.

Cathy hands out and reviews 2 FY18-19 year-end statements – one pre-\$464,000 reduction and one post. She hands out additional documents which show additional lower projections of \$99,704 in base and \$16,296 lower growth for FY19-20 based on CSAC/CPOC October estimates.

Discussions continued as to why and how this happened and to how to address the shortage for this year. Izen suggests using the \$107,000 reserve that was voted on at this year's budget process, assuming 5 CCPEC members would vote for it. If the BOS would approve it, utilize the \$147,844 in Local Innovation Subaccount funds; eliminate the \$30,000 for the DRC/Willits position (if necessary covering under SB678). This will bring the deficit down to \$69,927. Discussions continue as to how to make up this remaining shortage. There is a possibility of covering that deficit by lower utilization of services at Ford Street as well as some department claiming coming in below budget. It may be very close. If we still have a deficit then 4<sup>th</sup> quarter claims may need to be reduced proportionately or taking into account departments that got larger increases to their budget this year.

It is suggested to hold a meeting December in order to vote on how to proceed. Additional information can be sent to the E and Izen can speak further to the CEO's office. Kim Turner makes a motion to have another meeting in December; Jeff Aaron seconds the motion. All in favor, none opposed. Motion passes.

Izen summarizes ideas we have come up with. Cathy to send out historical utilization report. Izen discusses need for a plan, mission and role for the CCP to state our objectives; reduce recidivism, deal with population, etc. Discussion held. Expenditures should be in support of goals of CCP. Izen states that CCP plans and funding appear to be on Governor's agenda and we should be prepared to address inquiries as to how we are expending funds and what is being done at the local level. Further discussion held. Izen states we will provide what was requested for a December meeting. Discussion on date for December was held. Cathy to send out Doodle Poll to determine date.

**Data Update:**

Bridgett states the last report on data was two quarters (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>) and this handout provided is just on the third quarter. These numbers are pulled from our case



management system JustWare and sometimes they fluctuate from the prosecutor, the courts or even the arresting agency. We follow our numbers all the way to the end of supervision and that can be after the sentencing date. So we are closing when they are actually transport to CDCR or when they complete their terminal sentence.

The 1170 sentencings from the Court are a little bit lower than the last quarter, but we had a 42% split sentence given out which is pretty good..

Bridgett worked this quarter with Lt. Spears and Capt. Pearce from the jail on accessing Aegis and providing that data instead of contacting them to obtain it; she will continue working with them. She still needs to speak with Jesus Marino and get a good Electronic Monitoring set up. Bridgett and Izen have discussed changing this a little bit in the beginning of the and new quarter and once we get that locked out she will speak with Jesus and make sure she can get that information, it does not look like she can get that information out of Aegis. Capt. Pearce states he has it on a spreadsheet; will have Jesus send Bridgett the info but will still need to speak with him on how to read it correctly.

At the last meeting, Sheriff Allman asked about Parole flashes. Izen reached out to Parole. Bridgett spoke with Capt. Pearce and Lt. Spears. She does not believe they have been doing flashes recently. This is only looking at current data not researching past years, but it appears every parole violation is booked with both penal code and she cannot tell which was a flash and which was an actual. Izen states Parole stated essentially a 3056 for them is the same as a flash as a revocation. But for Probation, when we set this up, a 3054 is a flash and that is what we bring them in on. A 3055 is a revocation and we are able to look through your data and know exactly who is there for what. We wanted to change something; we are hoping that change is happening. If you look at your sheet, 40% of our inmates are sentenced and 16% are un-sentenced. PTR is right around the corner and our data needs to get a lot better. A lot of what Izen has been researching lately finds the inmates are being booked in under felony violations of probation but they are not felony's, they are misdemeanors. He would like to spend some time discussing this with the Sheriff. So looking at if the officer puts down a felony 1203.2, Captain Pearce states then that is what the jail is going to book them under. Izen states that is going to cause major problems if SB10 passes. That is going to cause issues with SB10 rules and they will have to figure out a way to discuss this further. Regardless of SB10 or Humphries decision, there are 48 counties with some sort of PTR system in place. Mendocino County is one of the ten counties that don't have this system. It is going to be a massive undertaking and education process for the officers on the street. It is going to majorly impact morale, and everyone else in the criminal justice system is going to have to do things differently. The data up front has to be right for us to know what we are facing. PD Jeff Aaron states there is a lot of frustration and Izen concurs. Bridgett states it is fairly common when you change to a data based program and we had to do the same thing with this in the amount of time it took to do this and extract the information or the



different systems she had to look at. In the big picture this data collection is new for the Jail and for Probation. The statute code is so extensive and there are so many things to select from. When you begin having to focus on it you have to clean it up.

Izen inquires if there is anything else everyone wants to see. If there is something different to please let him know. Further discussion held.

Izen states in other counties, 3452 is a one stop shop for PRCS evaluation for everything. Jail is taking both codes and it needs to be one or the other, and now we have to go back and explain this to the state.

**CCP Members Report Out:**

Chief Justin Wyatt – UPD – would like to speak with Amanda about the increasing the program referrals after the meeting.

Chief Izen Locatelli – Probation –CPOC-released yesterday the Elevate for Justice Act. This is CPOC stating they are going to introduce legislation in 2020 to change the Juvenile Justice System. Their plan is essentially is to make the WIC code go all the way through age 19. So 18 and 19 year old will fall under WIC and will not be eligible for the adult system and not be in the jails. Mendocino County, in 2007 had roughly 320 602's and 35-40 on any given day in Juvenile Hall. In 2019 we have roughly 60 602's and 10-15 inside Juvenile Hall. Those left in the system are extremely difficulty cases, high needs, high mental health, high substance abuse issues, and high aces scores. In the 18-19 year old group, there is not really much of a difference between them and the 16-17 years in our county and across the state. The medical research talks about brain development and he can tell you he has literally driven a kid on his 18 birthday from Juvenile Hall to the Jail. Further discussion held.

**New Items for Future Agenda:**

No new items.

**Future CCP Meeting Dates:**

December 2020 – Date TBD

February 21, 2020

March 20, 2020

May 15, 2020

August 21, 2020

November 20, 2020

Meeting adjourned at 1:20 p.m.