Grand Jury Report RESPONSE FORM

RE: Report Titled: Ricochet Bullets - No Problem!		
Report Dated: February 19, 2011		
Response F	orm Submitted By:	
	chak, Assessor	
Mendocino 501 Low Ga		
Ukiah, CA		
	IUST be submitted, per Penal Code §933.05, no later than: June 8, 2011	
I have revie follows:	ewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>FINDINGS</u> portion of the report as	
	I (we) agree with the Findings numbered:	
₫	I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered below, and have <u>attached</u> , as <u>required</u> , a statement specifying any portion of the Finding that are disputed with an explanation of the reasons therefore.	
	5, 6 and 9	
I have revi the report o	ewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u> portion of its follows:	
	The following Recommendation(s) have been implemented and <u>attached</u> , as <u>required</u> , is a summary describing the implemented actions:	
	The following Recommendation(s) have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, <u>attached</u> , as <u>required</u> is a time frame for implementation:	

GRAND JURY REPORT RESPONSE FORM PAGE TWO

	The following Recommendation(s) require further analysis, and <u>attached as required</u> , is an explanation and the scope and parameters of the planned analysis, and a time frame for the matter to be prepared, discussed and approved by the officer and/or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed: (This time frame shall not exceed six (6) months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury Report)
	The following Recommendations will NOT be implemented because they are not warranted and/or are not deemed reasonable, <u>attached</u> , <u>as required</u> is an explanation therefore:
I have con pages to th	apleted the above responses, and have attached, as required the following number of his response form:
Nur	nber of Pages attached:
Grand Jur	nd that responses to Grand Jury Reports are public records. They will be posted on the y website: <u>www.co.mendocino.ca.us/grandjury</u> . The clerk of the responding agency is o maintain a copy of the response.
I understa	nd that I must submit this signed response form and any attachments as follows:
	t Step: E-mail (word documents or scanned pdf file format) to:
	 The Grand Jury Foreperson at: grandjury@co.mendocino.ca.us The Presiding Judge c/o Sally Nevarez: sally.nevarez@mendocino.courts.ca.gov The County's Executive Office: angeloc@co.mendocino.ca.us
Seco	ond Step: Mail all originals to:
	Mendocino County Grand Jury P.O. Box 939 Ukiah, CA 95482
Printed N	ame: Susan M Ranochak ————————————————————————————————————
Title: Signed:	Assessor County Clerk-Recorder Date: 53111

MENDOCINO COUNTY ASSESSOR, CLERK RECORDER'S OFFICE

DATE:

5/31/11

TO:

KATHY WYLIE, GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

FROM:

SUSAN M. RANOCHAK, ASSESSOR COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

RE:

RESPONSE TO FINDINGS FOR GRAND JURY REPORT RICOCHET BULLETS - NO PROBLEM

Finding #5: Per the records in the Assessors Section 11 Property file and confirmed by deed number 1993-25457 the purchase price for assessor parcel number 178-210-01 was \$ 650,000. The Assessor has no records to confirm the appraised value, referred to in Finding #5.

Finding #6: The assessed value for the 96.82 acres which the UGC leases from the City of Ukiah, as determined through the Section 11 process, for the 2010-11 tax year was \$40,331.

Finding #9: The UGC paid a total of \$945.77 in property tax on their possessory interest assessment. for the 2010-11 tax year. The ad valorem portion was \$830.36 and the bonded indebtedness amount was \$115.41. The assessed value for the possessory interest is based on the lease agreement between the City of Ukiah and the UGC. The Assessor cannot confirm the \$739.06 amount in Finding #9.