Grand Jury Report RESPONSE FORM

RE: Report Titled: Charter Schools Are Here To Stay Report Dated: June 6, 2011						
13235 Pine	nool Consultant – UUSD					
Response N	MUST be submitted, per Penal Code §933.05, no later than: September 23, 2011					
I have revi follows:	ewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>FINDINGS</u> portion of the report as					
	I (we) agree with the Findings numbered:					
	1-13, 15-33, 49-65					
	I (we) disagree wholly or partially with the Findings numbered below, and have <i>attached, as required</i> , a statement specifying any portion of the Finding that are disputed with an explanation of the reasons therefore. 14 and 34-48					
I have revi the report o	ewed the report and submit my responses to the <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u> portion of as follows:					
	iah Unified School District Charter School Consultant, I have no jurisdiction to the recommendations.					
	The following Recommendation(s) have been implemented and <u>attached</u> , as <u>required</u> , is a summary describing the implemented actions:					
	The following Recommendation(s) have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, <i>attached, as required</i> is a time frame for implementation:					
GRAND JUR	Y REPORT					

RESPONSE FORM PAGE TWO

	The following Recommend required, is an explanation and a time frame for the mattand/or director of the agency time frame shall not exceed so Jury Report)	and the scope and par er to be prepared, discu or department being	rameters of the assed and apprinted investigated	he planned analysis, proved by the officer or reviewed: (This
	The following Recommenda warranted and/or are not deep therefore:		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	npleted the above responses, a	nd have attached, as r	equired the j	following number of
Nu	mber of Pages attached:1			
Grand Jui	nd that responses to Grand Jur y website: { HYPERLINK "ht ding agency is required to main	tp://www.co.mendocino	o.ca.us/grand	
I understa	nd that I must submit this signe	d response form and an	y attachment	ts as follows:
<u>Firs</u>	t Step: E-mail (word documents	or scanned pdf file for	mat) to:	
"ma	 The Grand Ju ilto:grandjury@co.mendocino.c The Presiding Judge c/o The County's Executive 	ca.us" } Sally Nevarez: sally.nev		ocino.courts.ca.gov
Seco	ond Step: Mail all originals to:			
	Mendocino County Grand P.O. Box 939 Ukiah, CA 95482	d Jury		
Printed Na	nme: Dolores Fisette			
Title: Ukia	ah Unified School District Adm	inistrative Consultant		
Signed:	Dolores Fisette		Date: 7/2:	5/2011

Explanation for disagreement and for no response to the following findings and recommendations:

Finding 14: The Individual Education Plan (IEP) assessment is determined by the school's IEP team (comprised of the student's parents, teachers, program specialists); not by a SELPA Care Team.

Findings 34-48: Eel River Charter School is not authorized by Ukiah Unified School District, so I have no knowledge as to whether the findings are accurate.

No response to recommendations: As the Ukiah Unified School District Charter School Consultant, I have no jurisdiction to implement the recommendations.