



CITY SELECTION COMMITTEE

AMENDED AGENDA

CITY OF FORT BRAGG
Lindy Peters
Mayor

CITY OF POINT ARENA
Scott Ignacio
Mayor

CITY OF WILLITS
Madge Strong
Mayor

CITY OF UKIAH
Kevin Doble
Mayor

JULY 3, 2018 – 3:30 P.M.

COUNTY OF MENDOCINO ADMINISTRATION CENTER

501 LOW GAP ROAD, ROOM 1010, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CONFERENCE ROOM
UKIAH, CALIFORNIA 95482

THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEE MEMBERS WILL BE PARTICIPATING VIA TELEPHONE CONFERENCE FROM THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS (Pursuant to Government Code Sections 54953):

Mayor Lindy Peters

*Fort Bragg City Hall - Downstairs Conference Room
416 North Franklin Street
Fort Bragg, CA 95437*

*Mayor Scott Ignacio
Point Arena City Hall
451 School Street
Point Arena, CA 95468*

ORDER OF AGENDA

1. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE FOLLOWING APPOINTMENTS:
 - a. Two (2) City Representatives (Aviation Expertise) to Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC)
 - b. Public Member to Redevelopment/Successor Agency Oversight Board
2. OTHER BUSINESS
 - a. Public Expression
 - b. Approval of February 20, 2018, Meeting Minutes
 - c. Announcements/Other Business
 - d. Adjournment

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) COMPLIANCE

- The Board of Supervisors complies with ADA requirements and upon request, will attempt to reasonably accommodate individuals with disabilities by making meeting material available in appropriate alternative formats (pursuant to Government Code Section 54953.2). Anyone requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the meeting should contact the Clerk of the Board's Office by calling (707) 463-4221 at least five days prior to the meeting.

ADDITIONAL MEETING INFORMATION FOR INTERESTED PARTIES

PUBLIC EXPRESSION: (PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA)

- Members of the public are welcome to address the City Selection Committee on items not listed on the agenda and within the jurisdiction of the Committee. The Committee is prohibited by law from taking action on matters not on the agenda, but may ask questions to clarify the speaker's comment and/or briefly answer questions. The Committee limits testimony on matters not on the agenda to 3 minutes per person and not more than 10 minutes for a particular subject.
- Individuals wishing to address the Committee under Public Expression are welcome to do so. If you wish to submit written comments, please provide information to the Clerk of the Board staff, located in the Administration Center, Room 1010.



**MENDOCINO COUNTY
CITY SELECTION COMMITTEE**

The City Selection Committee convened on Tuesday, February 20, 2018, at 2:10 p.m., at 501 Low Gap Road, Conference Room A, Ukiah, CA, with the following members present: Point Arena Mayor Scott Ignacio; Willits Mayor Madge Strong, and Ukiah Mayor Kevin Doble. Fort Bragg Mayor Lindy Peters absent.

Also present: Ms. Katharine L. Elliott, County Counsel; Ms. Karla Van Hagen, Senior Deputy Clerk of the Board /City Selection Committee Secretary; and Ms. Willetta Callaghan, Deputy Clerk of the Board I.

Roll Call was conducted, reflecting participation by the Mayors of the Cities of Point Arena, Willits and Ukiah.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 1 – DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING THE FOLLOWING APPOINTMENTS:

CITY REPRESENTATIVE (AVIATION EXPERTISE) TO THE AIRPORT LANE USE COMMISSION (ALUC)

Presenter/s: Ms. Karla Van Hagen, Senior Deputy Clerk of the Board/City Selection Committee Secretary.

Committee Action: Upon motion by Mayor Doble, seconded by Mayor Ignacio, and carried (3/0/1, with Mayor Peters absent); IT IS ORDERED that the City Selection Committee hereby appoints Donovan Albright as City Representative to the Airport Land Use Commission.

CITY REPRESENTATIVE TO THE LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION (LAFCo)

Presenter/s: Ms. Karla Van Hagen, Senior Deputy Clerk of the Board/City Selection Committee Secretary.

Committee Action: Upon motion by Mayor Ignacio, seconded by Mayor Strong, and carried (3/0/1, with Mayor Peters absent); IT IS ORDERED that the City Selection Committee hereby appoints Kevin Doble as City Representative to LAFCo.

FORT BRAGG, WILLITS, POINT ARENA REPRESENTATIVE TO SONOMA CLEAN POWER (SCP))

Presenter/s: Ms. Karla Van Hagen, Senior Deputy Clerk of the Board/City Selection Committee Secretary.

Committee Action: Upon motion by Mayor Ignacio, seconded by Mayor Strong, and carried (2/0/1, with Mayor Peters absent); IT IS ORDERED that the City Selection Committee hereby appoints Lindy Peters as City Representative to Sonoma Clean Power.

Committee Action: Upon motion by Mayor Ignacio, seconded by Mayor Strong, and carried (2/0/1, with Mayor Peters absent); IT IS ORDERED that the City Selection Committee hereby appoints Jonathan Torres as alternate City Representative to Sonoma Clean Power.

ADJOURNMENT

THERE BEING NOTHING FURTHER TO COME BEFORE THE CITY SELECTION COMMITTEE, THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 2:25 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,



KARLA VAN HAGEN

Senior Deputy Clerk of the Board /City Selection Committee Secretary



California
LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION

AB-332 Airports: land use commissions. (2003-2004)

SHARE THIS:  

Date Published:

Assembly Bill No. 332

CHAPTER 351

An act to amend Sections 21670, 21674.7, 21676, 21676.5, 21677, and 21678 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to airports.

[Filed with Secretary of State September 12, 2003. Approved by Governor September 11, 2003.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 332, Mullin. Airports: land use commissions.

The State Aeronautics Act governs the creation and operation of airports in this state. Under the State Aeronautics Act, each county in which there is an airport served by a scheduled airline and each county with an airport operated for the benefit of the general public, with certain exceptions, is required to establish an airport land use commission, to designate a body to carry out the responsibilities of a commission, or to contract with the Department of Transportation to carry out the responsibilities of a commission. The commission is required to formulate a comprehensive land use compatibility plan to provide for the orderly growth of the airport and the area surrounding the airport within the jurisdiction of the commission, and safeguard the general welfare of the inhabitants within the vicinity of the airport and the public in general. The commission is required to submit a copy of the comprehensive land use compatibility plan to the Division of Aeronautics of the department and the division is required to notify the airport land use commission of any omission in the plan of required matter.

Under the State Aeronautics Act, the general plan or special plans of a local agency, including a city, county, or special district, are required to be consistent with the airport land use compatibility plan and each local agency whose general plan or plans includes areas covered by an airport land use compatibility plan is required to submit a copy of its plan, any amendment, any zoning ordinance, and any building regulation, to the airport land use commission. If the plan, amendment, zoning ordinance, or building regulation is inconsistent with the airport land use compatibility plan, the airport land use commission is required to notify the local agency and the local agency is required to have a hearing to reconsider its plan or action. A public agency owning any airport within the boundaries of an airport land use compatibility plan may overrule an airport land use commission's action or recommendation affecting an airport within the jurisdiction of that public agency, after a hearing, by a 2/3 vote of its governing body, except the County of Marin, which may overrule by a majority vote of its governing body, and the making of specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of protecting public health, safety, and welfare, minimizing the public's exposure to excessive noise, and minimizing safety hazards within areas around the public airport.

This bill would declare the intent of the Legislature to discourage incompatible land uses near airports and to clarify that school districts and community college districts are also subject to a comprehensive land use compatibility plan. The bill would provide that a local or public agency may propose to overrule an airport land use commission's action or recommendation affecting an airport within the jurisdiction of that public agency after a hearing, by a 2/3 vote of its governing body, except the County of Marin, which may overrule by a majority vote of its governing body, if it makes specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of protecting public health, safety, and welfare, minimizing the public's exposure to excessive noise, and minimizing safety hazards within areas around the public airport. The bill would require the local or public agency governing

body to provide the airport land use commission and the division with the proposed decision and findings at least 45 days prior to the decision to overrule the commission and would authorize the commission or the division to make advisory comments within 30 days of receiving the proposed decision and findings. The bill would require that the advisory comments from the commission or division be included in the final record of any final decision to overrule the commission.

By requiring local agency governing boards to provide notice to the division and commission and incorporate department and commission comments in the public record, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement, including the creation of a State Mandates Claims Fund to pay the costs of mandates that do not exceed \$1,000,000 statewide and other procedures for claims whose statewide costs exceed \$1,000,000.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 21670 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

21670. (a) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that:

(1) It is in the public interest to provide for the orderly development of each public use airport in this state and the area surrounding these airports so as to promote the overall goals and objectives of the California airport noise standards adopted pursuant to Section 21669 and to prevent the creation of new noise and safety problems.

(2) It is the purpose of this article to protect public health, safety, and welfare by ensuring the orderly expansion of airports and the adoption of land use measures that minimize the public's exposure to excessive noise and safety hazards within areas around public airports to the extent that these areas are not already devoted to incompatible uses.

(b) In order to achieve the purposes of this article, every county in which there is located an airport which is served by a scheduled airline shall establish an airport land use commission. Every county, in which there is located an airport which is not served by a scheduled airline, but is operated for the benefit of the general public, shall establish an airport land use commission, except that the board of supervisors of the county may, after consultation with the appropriate airport operators and affected local entities and after a public hearing, adopt a resolution finding that there are no noise, public safety, or land use issues affecting any airport in the county which require the creation of a commission and declaring the county exempt from that requirement. The board shall, in this event, transmit a copy of the resolution to the Director of Transportation. For purposes of this section, "commission" means an airport land use commission. Each commission shall consist of seven members to be selected as follows:

(1) Two representing the cities in the county, appointed by a city selection committee comprised of the mayors of all the cities within that county, except that if there are any cities contiguous or adjacent to the qualifying airport, at least one representative shall be appointed therefrom. If there are no cities within a county, the number of representatives provided for by paragraphs (2) and (3) shall each be increased by one.

(2) Two representing the county, appointed by the board of supervisors.

(3) Two having expertise in aviation, appointed by a selection committee comprised of the managers of all of the public airports within that county.

(4) One representing the general public, appointed by the other six members of the commission.

(c) Public officers, whether elected or appointed, may be appointed and serve as members of the commission during their terms of public office.

(d) Each member shall promptly appoint a single proxy to represent him or her in commission affairs and to vote on all matters when the member is not in attendance. The proxy shall be designated in a signed written instrument which shall be kept on file at the commission offices, and the proxy shall serve at the pleasure of the appointing member. A vacancy in the office of proxy shall be filled promptly by appointment of a new proxy.

(e) A person having an "expertise in aviation" means a person who, by way of education, training, business,

experience, vocation, or avocation has acquired and possesses particular knowledge of, and familiarity with, the function, operation, and role of airports, or is an elected official of a local agency which owns or operates an airport.

(f) It is the intent of the Legislature to clarify that, for the purposes of this article, that special districts, school districts, and community college districts are included among the local agencies that are subject to airport land use laws and other requirements of this article.

SEC. 2. Section 21674.7 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

21674.7. (a) An airport land use commission that formulates, adopts, or amends an airport land use compatibility plan shall be guided by information prepared and updated pursuant to Section 21674.5 and referred to as the Airport Land Use Planning Handbook published by the Division of Aeronautics of the Department of Transportation.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature to discourage incompatible land uses near existing airports. Therefore, prior to granting permits for the renovation or remodeling of an existing building, structure, or facility, and before the construction of a new building, it is the intent of the Legislature that local agencies shall be guided by the height, use, noise, safety, and density criteria that are compatible with airport operations, as established by this article, and referred to as the Airport Land Use Planning Handbook, published by the division, and any applicable federal aviation regulations, including, but not limited to, Part 77 (commencing with Section 77.1) of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, to the extent that the criteria has been incorporated into the plan prepared by a commission pursuant to Section 21675. This subdivision does not limit the jurisdiction of a commission as established by this article. This subdivision does not limit the authority of local agencies to overrule commission actions or recommendations pursuant to Sections 21676, 21676.5, or 21677.

SEC. 3. Section 21676 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

21676. (a) Each local agency whose general plan includes areas covered by an airport land use compatibility plan shall, by July 1, 1983, submit a copy of its plan or specific plans to the airport land use commission. The commission shall determine by August 31, 1983, whether the plan or plans are consistent or inconsistent with the airport land use compatibility plan. If the plan or plans are inconsistent with the airport land use compatibility plan, the local agency shall be notified and that local agency shall have another hearing to reconsider its airport land use compatibility plans. The local agency may propose to overrule the commission after the hearing by a two-thirds vote of its governing body if it makes specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of this article stated in Section 21670. At least 45 days prior to the decision to overrule the commission, the local agency governing body shall provide the commission and the division a copy of the proposed decision and findings. The commission and the division may provide comments to the local agency governing body within 30 days of receiving the proposed decision and findings. If the commission or the division's comments are not available within this time limit, the local agency governing body may act without them. The comments by the division or the commission are advisory to the local agency governing body. The local agency governing body shall include comments from the commission and the division in the final record of any final decision to overrule the commission, which may only be adopted by a two-thirds vote of the governing body.

(b) Prior to the amendment of a general plan or specific plan, or the adoption or approval of a zoning ordinance or building regulation within the planning boundary established by the airport land use commission pursuant to Section 21675, the local agency shall first refer the proposed action to the commission. If the commission determines that the proposed action is inconsistent with the commission's plan, the referring agency shall be notified. The local agency may, after a public hearing, propose to overrule the commission by a two-thirds vote of its governing body if it makes specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of this article stated in Section 21670. At least 45 days prior to the decision to overrule the commission, the local agency governing body shall provide the commission and the division a copy of the proposed decision and findings. The commission and the division may provide comments to the local agency governing body within 30 days of receiving the proposed decision and findings. If the commission or the division's comments are not available within this time limit, the local agency governing body may act without them. The comments by the division or the commission are advisory to the local agency governing body. The local agency governing body shall include comments from the commission and the division in the public record of any final decision to overrule the commission, which may only be adopted by a two-thirds vote of the governing body.

(c) Each public agency owning any airport within the boundaries of an airport land use compatibility plan shall, prior to modification of its airport master plan, refer any proposed change to the airport land use commission. If the commission determines that the proposed action is inconsistent with the commission's plan, the referring

agency shall be notified. The public agency may, after a public hearing, propose to overrule the commission by a two-thirds vote of its governing body if it makes specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of this article stated in Section 21670. At least 45 days prior to the decision to overrule the commission, the public agency governing body shall provide the commission and the division a copy of the proposed decision and findings. The commission and the division may provide comments to the public agency governing body within 30 days of receiving the proposed decision and findings. If the commission or the division's comments are not available within this time limit, the public agency governing body may act without them. The comments by the division or the commission are advisory to the public agency governing body. The public agency governing body shall include comments from the commission and the division in the final decision to overrule the commission, which may only be adopted by a two-thirds vote of the governing body.

(d) Each commission determination pursuant to subdivision (b) or (c) shall be made within 60 days from the date of referral of the proposed action. If a commission fails to make the determination within that period, the proposed action shall be deemed consistent with the airport land use compatibility plan.

SEC. 4. Section 21676.5 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

21676.5. (a) If the commission finds that a local agency has not revised its general plan or specific plan or overruled the commission by a two-thirds vote of its governing body after making specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of this article as stated in Section 21670, the commission may require that the local agency submit all subsequent actions, regulations, and permits to the commission for review until its general plan or specific plan is revised or the specific findings are made. If, in the determination of the commission, an action, regulation, or permit of the local agency is inconsistent with the airport land use compatibility plan, the local agency shall be notified and that local agency shall hold a hearing to reconsider its plan. The local agency may propose to overrule the commission after the hearing by a two-thirds vote of its governing body if it makes specific findings that the proposed action is consistent with the purposes of this article as stated in Section 21670. At least 45 days prior to the decision to overrule the commission, the local agency governing body shall provide the commission and the division a copy of the proposed decision and findings. The commission and the division may provide comments to the local agency governing body within 30 days of receiving the proposed decision and findings. If the commission or the division's comments are not available within this time limit, the local agency governing body may act without them. The comments by the division or the commission are advisory to the local agency governing body. The local agency governing body shall include comments from the commission and the division in the final decision to overrule the commission, which may only be adopted by a two-thirds vote of the governing body.

(b) Whenever the local agency has revised its general plan or specific plan or has overruled the commission pursuant to subdivision (a), the proposed action of the local agency shall not be subject to further commission review, unless the commission and the local agency agree that individual projects shall be reviewed by the commission.

SEC. 5. Section 21677 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

21677. Notwithstanding the two-thirds vote required by Section 21676, any public agency in the County of Marin may overrule the Marin County Airport Land Use Commission by a majority vote of its governing body. At least 45 days prior to the decision to overrule the commission, the public agency governing body shall provide the commission and the division a copy of the proposed decision and findings. The commission and the division may provide comments to the public agency governing body within 30 days of receiving the proposed decision and findings. If the commission or the division's comments are not available within this time limit, the public agency governing body may act without them. The comments by the division or the commission are advisory to the public agency governing body. The public agency governing body shall include comments from the commission and the division in the public record of the final decision to overrule the commission, which may be adopted by a majority vote of the governing body.

SEC. 6. Section 21678 of the Public Utilities Code is amended to read:

21678. With respect to a publicly owned airport that a public agency does not operate, if the public agency pursuant to Section 21676, 21676.5, or 21677 overrules a commission's action or recommendation, the operator of the airport shall be immune from liability for damages to property or personal injury caused by or resulting directly or indirectly from the public agency's decision to overrule the commission's action or recommendation.

SEC. 7. Notwithstanding Section 17610 of the Government Code, if the Commission on State Mandates

determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code. If the statewide cost of the claim for reimbursement does not exceed one million dollars (\$1,000,000), reimbursement shall be made from the State Mandates Claims Fund.

State of California

HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE

Section 34179

34179. (a) Each successor agency shall have an oversight board composed of seven members. The members shall elect one of their members as the chairperson and shall report the name of the chairperson and other members to the Department of Finance on or before May 1, 2012. Members shall be selected as follows:

(1) One member appointed by the county board of supervisors.

(2) One member appointed by the mayor for the city that formed the redevelopment agency.

(3) (A) One member appointed by the largest special district, by property tax share, with territory in the territorial jurisdiction of the former redevelopment agency, which is of the type of special district that is eligible to receive property tax revenues pursuant to Section 34188.

(B) On or after the effective date of this subparagraph, the county auditor-controller may determine which is the largest special district for purposes of this section.

(4) One member appointed by the county superintendent of education to represent schools if the superintendent is elected. If the county superintendent of education is appointed, then the appointment made pursuant to this paragraph shall be made by the county board of education.

(5) One member appointed by the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges to represent community college districts in the county.

(6) One member of the public appointed by the county board of supervisors.

(7) One member representing the employees of the former redevelopment agency appointed by the mayor or chair of the board of supervisors, as the case may be, from the recognized employee organization representing the largest number of former redevelopment agency employees employed by the successor agency at that time. In the case where city or county employees performed administrative duties of the former redevelopment agency, the appointment shall be made from the recognized employee organization representing those employees. If a recognized employee organization does not exist for either the employees of the former redevelopment agency or the city or county employees performing administrative duties of the former redevelopment agency, the appointment shall be made from among the employees of the successor agency. In voting to approve a contract as an enforceable obligation, a member appointed pursuant to this paragraph shall not be deemed to be interested in the contract by virtue of being an employee of the successor agency or community for purposes of Section 1090 of the Government Code.

(8) If the county or a joint powers agency formed the redevelopment agency, then the largest city by acreage in the territorial jurisdiction of the former redevelopment

agency may select one member. If there are no cities with territory in a project area of the redevelopment agency, the county superintendent of education may appoint an additional member to represent the public.

(9) If there are no special districts of the type that are eligible to receive property tax pursuant to Section 34188, within the territorial jurisdiction of the former redevelopment agency, then the county may appoint one member to represent the public.

(10) If a redevelopment agency was formed by an entity that is both a charter city and a county, the oversight board shall be composed of seven members selected as follows: three members appointed by the mayor of the city, if that appointment is subject to confirmation by the county board of supervisors, one member appointed by the largest special district, by property tax share, with territory in the territorial jurisdiction of the former redevelopment agency, which is the type of special district that is eligible to receive property tax revenues pursuant to Section 34188, one member appointed by the county superintendent of education to represent schools, one member appointed by the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges to represent community college districts, and one member representing employees of the former redevelopment agency appointed by the mayor of the city if that appointment is subject to confirmation by the county board of supervisors, to represent the largest number of former redevelopment agency employees employed by the successor agency at that time.

(11) Each appointing authority identified in this subdivision may, but is not required to, appoint alternate representatives to serve on the oversight board as may be necessary to attend any meeting of the oversight board in the event that the appointing authority's primary representative is unable to attend any meeting for any reason. If an alternate representative attends any meeting in place of the primary representative, the alternate representative shall have the same participatory and voting rights as all other attending members of the oversight board.

(b) The Governor may appoint individuals to fill any oversight board member position described in subdivision (a) that has not been filled by May 15, 2012, or any member position that remains vacant for more than 60 days.

(c) The oversight board may direct the staff of the successor agency to perform work in furtherance of the oversight board's and the successor agency's duties and responsibilities under this part. The successor agency shall pay for all of the costs of meetings of the oversight board and may include such costs in its administrative budget. Oversight board members shall serve without compensation or reimbursement for expenses.

(d) Oversight board members are protected by the immunities applicable to public entities and public employees governed by Part 1 (commencing with Section 810) and Part 2 (commencing with Section 814) of Division 3.6 of Title 1 of the Government Code.

(e) A majority of the total membership of the oversight board shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. A majority vote of the total membership of the oversight board is required for the oversight board to take action. The oversight

board shall be deemed to be a local entity for purposes of the Ralph M. Brown Act, the California Public Records Act, and the Political Reform Act of 1974. All actions taken by the oversight board shall be adopted by resolution.

(f) All notices required by law for proposed oversight board actions shall also be posted on the successor agency's Internet Web site or the oversight board's Internet Web site.

(g) Each member of an oversight board shall serve at the pleasure of the entity that appointed such member.

(h) (1) The department may review an oversight board action taken pursuant to this part. Written notice and information about all actions taken by an oversight board shall be provided to the department as an approved resolution by electronic means and in a manner of the department's choosing. Without abrogating the department's authority to review all matters related to the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule pursuant to Section 34177, oversight boards are not required to submit the following oversight board actions for department approval:

(A) Meeting minutes and agendas.

(B) Administrative budgets.

(C) Changes in oversight board members, or the selection of an oversight board chair or vice chair.

(D) Transfers of governmental property pursuant to an approved long-range property management plan.

(E) Transfers of property to be retained by the sponsoring entity for future development pursuant to an approved long-range property management plan.

(2) An oversight board action submitted in a manner specified by the department shall become effective five business days after submission, unless the department requests a review of the action. Each oversight board shall designate an official to whom the department may make those requests and who shall provide the department with the telephone number and e-mail contact information for the purpose of communicating with the department pursuant to this subdivision. Except as otherwise provided in this part, in the event that the department requests a review of a given oversight board action, it shall have 40 days from the date of its request to approve the oversight board action or return it to the oversight board for reconsideration and the oversight board action shall not be effective until approved by the department. In the event that the department returns the oversight board action to the oversight board for reconsideration, the oversight board shall resubmit the modified action for department approval and the modified oversight board action shall not become effective until approved by the department. If the department reviews a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule, the department may eliminate or modify any item on that schedule prior to its approval. The county auditor-controller shall reflect the actions of the department in determining the amount of property tax revenues to allocate to the successor agency. The department shall provide notice to the successor agency and the county auditor-controller as to the reasons for its actions. To the extent that an oversight board continues to dispute a determination with the department, one or more future Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules may reflect any resolution of that

dispute. The department may also agree to an amendment to a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule to reflect a resolution of a disputed item; however, this shall not affect a past allocation of property tax or create a liability for any affected taxing entity.

(i) Oversight boards shall have fiduciary responsibilities to holders of enforceable obligations and the taxing entities that benefit from distributions of property tax and other revenues pursuant to Section 34188. Further, the provisions of Division 4 (commencing with Section 1000) of the Government Code shall apply to oversight boards. Notwithstanding Section 1099 of the Government Code, or any other law, any individual may simultaneously be appointed to up to five oversight boards and may hold an office in a city, county, city and county, special district, school district, or community college district.

(j) Except as specified in subdivision (q), commencing on and after July 1, 2018, in each county where more than one oversight board was created by operation of the act adding this part, there shall be only one oversight board, which shall be staffed by the county auditor-controller, by another county entity selected by the county auditor-controller, or by a city within the county that the county auditor-controller may select after consulting with the department. Pursuant to Section 34183, the county auditor-controller may recover directly from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund, and distribute to the appropriate city or county entity, reimbursement for all costs incurred by it or by the city or county pursuant to this subdivision, which shall include any associated startup costs. However, if only one successor agency exists within the county, the county auditor-controller may designate the successor agency to staff the oversight board. The oversight board is appointed as follows:

(1) One member may be appointed by the county board of supervisors.

(2) One member may be appointed by the city selection committee established pursuant to Section 50270 of the Government Code. In a city and county, the mayor may appoint one member.

(3) One member may be appointed by the independent special district selection committee established pursuant to Section 56332 of the Government Code, for the types of special districts that are eligible to receive property tax revenues pursuant to Section 34188.

(4) One member may be appointed by the county superintendent of education to represent schools if the superintendent is elected. If the county superintendent of education is appointed, then the appointment made pursuant to this paragraph shall be made by the county board of education.

(5) One member may be appointed by the Chancellor of the California Community Colleges to represent community college districts in the county.

(6) One member of the public may be appointed by the county board of supervisors.

(7) One member may be appointed by the recognized employee organization representing the largest number of successor agency employees in the county.

(k) The Governor may appoint individuals to fill any oversight board member position described in subdivision (j) that has not been filled by July 15, 2018, or any member position that remains vacant for more than 60 days.

(l) Commencing on and after July 1, 2018, in each county where only one oversight board was created by operation of the act adding this part, then there will be no change to the composition of that oversight board as a result of the operation of subdivision (j).

(m) Any oversight board for a given successor agency, with the exception of countywide oversight boards, shall cease to exist when the successor agency has been formally dissolved pursuant to Section 34187. A county oversight board shall cease to exist when all successor agencies subject to its oversight have been formally dissolved pursuant to Section 34187.

(n) An oversight board may direct a successor agency to provide additional legal or financial advice than what was given by agency staff.

(o) An oversight board is authorized to contract with the county or other public or private agencies for administrative support.

(p) On matters within the purview of the oversight board, decisions made by the oversight board supersede those made by the successor agency or the staff of the successor agency.

(q) (1) Commencing on and after July 1, 2018, in each county where more than 40 oversight boards were created by operation of the act adding this part, there shall be five oversight boards, which shall each be staffed in the same manner as specified in subdivision (j). The membership of each oversight board shall be as specified in paragraphs (1) through (7), inclusive, of subdivision (j).

(2) The oversight boards shall be numbered one through five, and their respective jurisdictions shall encompass the territory located within the respective borders of the first through fifth county board of supervisors districts, as those borders existed on July 1, 2018. Except as specified in paragraph (3), each oversight board shall have jurisdiction over each successor agency located within its borders.

(3) If a successor agency has territory located within more than one county board of supervisors' district, the county board of supervisors shall, no later than July 15, 2018, determine which oversight board shall have jurisdiction over that successor agency. The county board of supervisors or their designee shall report this information to the successor agency and the department by the aforementioned date.

(4) The successor agency to the former redevelopment agency created by a county where more than 40 oversight boards were created by operation of the act adding this part, shall be under the jurisdiction of the oversight board with the fewest successor agencies under its jurisdiction.

(Amended by Stats. 2015, Ch. 325, Sec. 11. (SB 107) Effective September 22, 2015.)