

MENDOCINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW
 Reports reviewed by **District Attorney David Eyster**
 Dates Submitted to DA: **May 1, 2015 – May 31, 2015**

	Ukiah Office	Fort Bragg Office
Total Number of Defendant Reports Submitted by Law Enforcement	355	86
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Felony Prosecution ¹	34	7
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For General Misdemeanor Prosecution	82	30
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Traffic-related Misdemeanor Prosecution	53	7
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Infraction Handling in Court ²	14	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Handling as Violation of Probation Only	35	9
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved for Violation of Parole Only	1	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved for Violation of Community Supervision	3	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Combined in an Existing Case Already Pending	13	4
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Not Accepted For Prosecution for Various Reasons, Including Delay	57	10
Number of Defendants referred to Other Jurisdiction	0	0
Number of Defendants referred to Educational Diversion	10	0
Number of Defendants referred for Further Investigation	34	0
Number of Defendants Awaiting Charging Decision , as of June 18, 2015	19	19

¹ **Felony filings for May** include the filing of the following violent or serious felonies: 2nd degree robbery, spousal abuse, 1st degree burglary, attempted murder, elder abuse, child abuse, assault with force likely to produce great bodily injury, assault with a deadly weapon, attempted 2nd degree robbery, evading a peace officer, grand theft embezzlement.

² “**Reports Reviewed and Approved For Infraction Handling in Court**” is defined as cases in which the District Attorney has exercised his statutory discretion and reduced a misdemeanor allegation of wrongdoing to an infraction charge. An infraction is an allegation of wrongdoing for which only a fine may be imposed. Individuals charged with an infraction are not entitled to the public expense of a Public Defender and are not entitled to a jury determination of guilt.