

MENDOCINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW
 Reports reviewed by **District Attorney David Eyster**
 Dates Submitted to DA: **September 1, 2013 – September 30, 2013**

	Ukiah Office	Fort Bragg Office
Total Number of Defendant Reports Submitted by Law Enforcement	525	155
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Felony Prosecution ¹	61	16
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For General Misdemeanor Prosecution	118	43
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Traffic-related Misdemeanor Prosecution	93	7
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Infraction Handling in Court ²	20	7
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Handling as Violation of Probation Only	19	8
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved for Violation of Parole Only	6	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved for Violation of Community Supervision	1	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Combined in an Existing Case Already Pending	20	2
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Rejected For Various Reasons, Including Delay	75	21
Number of Defendants referred to Other Jurisdiction	2	0
Number of Defendants referred to Educational Diversion	13	2
Number of Defendants referred for Further Investigation	20	4
Number of Defendants Awaiting Charging Decision , as of October 16, 2013	77	45

¹ **Felony filings for September** include the filing of the following violent or serious felonies: assault with a deadly weapon, failure to register, rape, 1st degree burglary, spousal abuse, kidnapping, arson, robbery, elder abuse, criminal threat, cruelty to animal, evading a peace officer, stalking, felon in possession of a firearm, vehicle theft.

² **“Reports Reviewed and Approved For Infraction Handling in Court”** is defined as cases in which the District Attorney has exercised his statutory discretion and reduced a misdemeanor allegation of wrongdoing to an infraction charge. An infraction is an allegation of wrongdoing for which only a fine may be imposed. Individuals charged with an infraction are not entitled to the public expense of a Public Defender and are not entitled to a jury determination of guilt.