

MENDOCINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
NUMBER OF DEFENDANTS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW
 Reports reviewed by **District Attorney David Eyster**
 Dates Submitted to DA: **November 1, 2016 – November 30, 2016**

	Ukiah Office	Fort Bragg Office
Total Number of Defendant Reports Submitted by Law Enforcement	348	119
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Felony Prosecution ¹	55	20
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For General Misdemeanor Prosecution	83	21
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Traffic-related Misdemeanor Prosecution	59	16
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Infraction Handling in Court ²	4	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved For Handling as Violation of Probation Only	35	6
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved for Violation of Parole Only	1	0
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Approved for Violation of Community Supervision	2	1
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Combined in an Existing Case Already Pending	28	9
Number of Defendants Reviewed and Not Accepted For Prosecution for Various Reasons, Including Delay	44	40
Number of Defendants referred to Other Jurisdiction	0	0
Number of Defendants referred to Educational Diversion	4	0
Number of Defendants referred for Further Investigation	20	1
Number of Defendants Awaiting Charging Decision , as of 12/31/2016	13	5

¹ **Felony filings for November** include the filing of the following violent or serious felonies: murder, 1st degree robbery, assault with a deadly weapon, child abuse, spousal abuse, false imprisonment, 1st degree burglary, kidnapping, child endangerment, assault with firearm on a peace officer, criminal threat, victim witness intimidation,.

² **“Reports Reviewed and Approved For Infraction Handling in Court”** is defined as cases in which the District Attorney has exercised his statutory discretion and reduced a misdemeanor allegation of wrongdoing to an infraction charge. An infraction is an allegation of wrongdoing for which only a fine may be imposed. Individuals charged with an infraction are not entitled to the public expense of a Public Defender and are not entitled to a jury determination of guilt.